

Sue Ellen Dodell General Counsel New York City Campaign Finance Board 40 Rector St., 7th Fl. New York, New York 10006

Dear Ms. Dodell:

SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION CTW CLC

MICHAEL P. FISHMAN President

KEVIN J. DOYLE Executive Vice President

HÉCTOR J. FIGUEROASecretary-Treasurer

VICE PRESIDENTS KYLE BRAGG LENORE FRIEDLAENDER BRIAN LAMBERT VALARIE LONG

JOHN THACKER

LARRY ENGELSTEIN
Assistant to the President

Local 32BJ Headquarters

25 West 18th Streets New York, NY 10010 212.388.3800

Capital Area District

866.925.3225 Washington 202.387.3211 Baltimore 410.244.5970,

Connecticut District

800.228.5253 Hartford 860.560.8674 Stamford 203.602.6615

District 1201 215.923.5488

Florida District 305.672.7071

Hudson Valley District 914.637.7000

Mid-Atlantic District 215.226.3600

National Conference of Firemen and Oilers 202.962.0981

> New Jersey District 973.824.3225

Western Pennsylvania 412.471.0690

www.seiu32bj.org

I write in response to your letter of May 7. As a preliminary matter, we strongly disagree with your characterization of the union's activities in our initial letter as "actions undertaken by a union in support of candidates" or that they constitute "[electoral] campaign activity." To the contrary, we described trade union actions and communications in support of a fair contract for workers. Our question concerns traditional labor activity that neither supports nor opposes any candidate, but which mentions candidates' names and thus is deemed "electioneering communications."

We reiterate our request for a definite response to the general question of whether an "electioneering communication" as defined in Rule 13-01 constitutes an in-kind contribution to a campaign if it was a "non-independent expenditure" within the meaning of Rule 13-05.

We do not understand why "analysis of these issues is necessarily fact-intensive." Nothing in the Charter, the Campaign Finance Act or the CFB's Rules suggests that distinctions among different "electioneering communications" or among different "non-independent expenditures" under the facts of our letter would produce different conclusions about in-kind contribution treatment. Without clear guidance on our general question, we, and many others, will be unable to determine what the law requires from us, and we respectfully suggest that in that event the Rules are too vague to be enforceable.

You ask for more details concerning: 1) which actions constitute "fostering or cooperation," and 2) the content of the flyer. If these additional details are relevant, please explain in your Advisory Opinion what forms, manner or extent of "fostering or cooperation" lead to a finding of an in-kind contribution and which do not. Similarly, please explain in your Advisory Opinion which forms of "electioneering contributions" would be considered in-kind contributions and which not, under the facts of our letter. At the very least, please explain why the additional facts we provide below are necessary to answering our general question above and what it is about those facts that is determinative in reaching that answer.

Without agreeing that the details you request are relevant to answer the question we posed, please assume the following additional facts.

The candidates "fostered and cooperated" by:

- 1. Thecandidates requested that the Union do the mailing to publicize the rally; and
- 2. The candidates reviewed and approved the leaflet prior to mailing.

The content of the leaflet is:

"Come to a rally to support the [name of company] workers who are fighting for a fair contract. Speakers will include [names of candidates.] [date, time and place.]"

Respectfully yours,

Alison Hirsh